

**EVALUATION RUBRICS
PERIDOT RESEARCH PROGRAM**

Research proposals under PERIDOT research program will be assessed by three expert evaluators based on the following Evaluation Criteria. The reviewers will comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal against each criteria and assign score on the basis of Grading Scale. An overall score will also be assigned to the application on the grading scale:

Criteria for Evaluation	Weightage	Score (1-7) (Refer to Grading Scale)
<p>Relevance to the Priority Area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposal clearly identify Priority Area, and describe what aspects of the priority area this proposed research proposal will address? • Does the proposal articulate a clear and reasonable vision with reference to addressing the Priority Area? 	20	
<p>Research</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposal include an integrated/sound research plan to address the Priority Area? • To what extent does the proposed methodology and approach are well reasoned, well organized, and based on a sound rationale and clearly support attainment of the project objectives? • To what extent key activities and procedures to complete the project are clearly articulated and reasonable? • What is the scientific merit of the proposed research? • To what extent objectives of the project are clearly defined, measurable and achievable with in the project timeline? 	20	
<p>Research track and experience of the visiting fellow</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent does the PI(s) and overall project team from Pakistan and France has demonstrated ability, expertise and necessary skills to conduct the proposed research? • To what extent the PI(s) has shown level of commitment and devoted significant time necessary for execution of the project? 	15	
<p>The Principal Investigator's and the host institute's suitability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent adequate resources (human, technical and other) are available to the PI(s) (either at the home institution or through collaboration at host inst.) necessary to carry out the proposed activities in a timely manner • How well the proposed research and host institution's research facilities correlate for caarrying out research activities? 	15	
<p>Complementarity of co-applicants (benefit for each co-applicant)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How well the research areas of both applicants coincide • How effective is the division of research work between co-applicants, how well research work is laid out to be carried out during bilateral visits. 	10	
<p>Potential for long-term collaboration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent proposed research can lead to future collaborations • To what extent research objectives of both institutions co-relate, having potential of exploring new avenues of collaboration 	10	

<p>Impact on Economy & Society</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent does the proposed research addresses important challenges related to the thematic area or bring important benefits to the society? • To what extent output of the project would enhance innovation or address the industrial concerns through development of a new product/ prototype/ process or improve an existing product/process 	10	
---	----	--

Grading Scale

Grading Scale	Description
7- Outstanding	Exceptionally strong proposal with negligible weaknesses
6-Excellent	Very strong proposal with negligible weaknesses
5-Very Good	Very strong Proposal with minor weaknesses
4-Good	Strong proposal with minor weaknesses
3-Average	Proposal having some strengths but moderate weaknesses
2-Weak	Proposal having few strengths with major weaknesses
1-Poor	Proposal having very few strengths with numerous major weaknesses